

UNITED STATES PATÉNT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/974,855	10/12/2001	Atsushi Kota	Q66657	7448
7590 07/01/2004 SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3213			EXAMINER .	
			SHENG, TOM V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2673	
			D. TT. M. H. T. D. 07/01/200	. 10-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/974,855	KOTA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tom V Sheng	2673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 A	136(a). In no event, however, may a rely within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AE and date of this communication, even if the state of the	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
<i>/</i>	, -					
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	or election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• ,	, ,				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · ·	• • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/974,855

Art Unit: 2673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kinoshita et al. (US 4,485,379) in view of Kim et al. (US 6,265,833 B1).

As for claim 15, Kinoshita teaches an image display apparatus (figure 12; EL display device) comprising:

an image display section (EL display panel 8) in which a plurality of light emitting elements (E_{ij}) are arranged in a matrix at intersections of a plurality of scan lines (Y_i) and plurality of data lines (X_i).

Kinoshita further teaches that the EL panel is written one line at a time. See column 9, line 50 through column 10, line 41. Thus, Kinoshita teaches the well-known sequential line-by-line display driving.

However, Kinoshita does not teach a control circuit which selects one of modes as an operation mode in response to a mode switching signal, and outputs a data signal and a scan control signal based on an image signal to be displayed and said selected mode; a row driving section connected to said plurality of scan lines to sequentially drive

Art Unit: 2673

said plurality of scan lines based on said scan control signal in a unit determined based on said operation mode; a column driving section connected to said plurality of data lines to sequentially drive said plurality of data lines based on said data signal; an external brightness sensor which detects brightness of a peripheral portion of said image display apparatus; and a CPU which outputs said mode switching signal and said image signal to said control circuit based on designation by a user, and outputs said mode switching signal to said control circuit based on the detected brightness by said external brightness, whereby an image corresponding to said image signal is displayed on said image display section.

Kim teaches a control circuit (controller 3; figure 3) which selects one of modes (second, third, or fourth driving modes; figure 4) as an operation mode in response to a mode switching signal (signal converted by the optical signal converter 2), and outputs a data signal (driving signal at step ST3 in accordance with driving mode selected) based on an image signal to be displayed (inherent) and said selected mode;

a column driving section (driver 4) connected to said plurality of data lines to sequentially drive said plurality of data lines based on said data signal (driving signal);

an external brightness sensor (optical sensor 1 and optical signal converter 2; figure 1) which detects brightness of a peripheral portion of said image display apparatus (senses intensity of light of the outside environment); and

a CPU (done by driving mode selector 3a of controller 3) which outputs said mode switching signal and said image signal to said control circuit based on designation by a user, and outputs said mode switching signal to said control circuit based on the

Art Unit: 2673

detected brightness by said external brightness (from driving mode selector 3a to controller 3), whereby an image corresponding to said image signal is displayed on said image display section (panel 5).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Kim's invention in a EL panel like Kinoshita's because it allows an viewer a good visibility even with changing ambience lighting without unnecessary power consumption.

Kim/Kinoshita does not teach the same control circuit that also outputs a scan control signal based on the image signal to be displayed and said selected mode and a row driving section connected to said plurality of scan lines to sequentially drive said plurality of scan lines based on said scan control signal in a unit determined based on said operation mode.

However, it would have been obvious to conveniently add a scan control signal output to Kim's controller 3 so that both data control and scan control would come from a same circuit. This scan control signal would control scanning in above analyzed sequential line-by-line manner, which reads on claimed sequentially drive said plurality of scan lines based on said scan control signal in a unit determined based on said operation mode.

Claim 1 is rejected per analysis of claim 15. Further, the limitation "wherein a current of said data signal is based on said selected mode" is read by Kim's driving current as determined by a driving mode.

Claim 2 is read by Kinoshita's sequential line-by-line driving.

Application/Control Number: 09/974,855

Art Unit: 2673

As for claim 16, one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that when battery is low, one would desire a lower brightness for power saving sake over desirable display brightness.

As for claim 17, it is certainly desirable for a user to set a nominal brightness of display to his/her liking upon receiving a phone call.

Claim 18 is read by Kinoshita's EL display or Kim's self-emitting display, which can be EL, LED, FED or PDP (column 1, lines 11-16).

3. Claim 3-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kinoshita and Kim, as applied to claim 1 or 15 above, and further in view of Kuwata et al. (EP Application Publication 0617399 A1).

As to claims 3-5 and 7-14, Kinoshita/Kim is silent as to the specific driving schemes in the double scan or double sequential scan driving methods as claimed. On the other hand, Kuwata teaches a multiple line selection method where a plurality of scanning lines is selected at a time (column 3, lines 2-25). This would solve the frame response issue (column 1, lines 19-47). Note also that the rows driven together needs not be continuously arranged. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate any form of Kuwata's MLS as the scanning method in Kinoshita/Kim's invention, thus further preventing any frame response issue.

As for claim 6, a monochromatic display can be provided simply by turning off the other two color pixels or by making all 3-color pixels same intensity obvious to one of

Art Unit: 2673

ordinary skill in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide for either color or monochromatic display as the image signal dictates.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tom V Sheng whose telephone number is (703) 305-6708. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on (703) 305-4938. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/974,855

Art Unit: 2673

Page 7

KENT CHANG PRIMARY EXAMINER

Tom Sheng June 19, 2004